# **EXHIBIT B**

```
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
          NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
             SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
                     --000--
3COM CORPORATION,
             Plaintiff,
                                     No. CV-03-2177-VRW
       vs.
D-LINK SYSTEMS, INC.,
             Defendant.
REALTEK SEMICONDUCTOR
CORPORATION,
        Intervenor/
        Counterclaim Defendant, )
       vs.
3COM CORPORATION,
        Intervention Defendant/ )
         Counterclaim Plaintiff. )
                   CERTIFIED COPY
                  30(b)(6) DEPOSITION OF
                    3COM CORPORATION
                    January 20, 2005
REPORTED BY:
               JAN LIGUORI HERNANDEZ, CSR 6703 01-361847
```



1	has alleged that D-Link infringes in this lawsuit?
2	A. I understand that there are three patents
3	listed in Chi-Lie's test report, I don't know the
4 -	patent numbers.
5	Q. You have not gone through to do any sort of
6	analysis of the patents compared to the testing that
7	Chi-Lie Wang did?
8	A. No.
9	Q. Okay. Tell me do you know what D-Link
10	products that 3Com has tested with respect to any 3Com
11 .	patents?
12	A. It is my understanding that 3Com tested the
13	D-Link 10/100 NIC with the Realtek ASIC, and the D-Link
14	10/100 NIC with the Sundance ASIC.
15	Q. Where does that understanding come from, who
16	did you talk to to get that information?
17	A. The D-Link 10/100 NIC with the Realtek ASIC is
18	included in the test report prepared by Chi-Lie Wang.
19	The D-Link 10/100 NIC with the Sundance chip is my
20	understanding from Chi-Lie Wang.
21	Q. Okay. You didn't look at any documents
22	relating to the Sundance test?
23	A. No.
24	Q. Do you know whether any such documents exist?
25	A. No.

1	the 530TX+ D-Link card infringed as of October 2002 is
2	not something you're prepared to talk about today?
3	A. No.
4	Q. Okay. Now let's go back to the two testing,
5	two tests that you described that Chi-Lie Wang did.
6	You said that he tested a Realtek ASIC. Do you know
7	what the specific number of that ASIC was?
8	A. I don't recall.
9	Q. All right. Was it an ASIC with a part number
١0	that began with RTL?
L1	A. Yes.
12	Q. Okay. And did he test that ASIC by itself or
13	did he test it as part of a network interface card?
14	A. As part of a network interface card.
15	Q. Do you know what the specific network
16	interface card he tested it on or what is the
17	description start over.
18	What is the description of the network
19	interface card on which he found the Realtek ASIC?
20	A. The Hockings 10/100 Network Interface Card,
21	and the D-Link 10/100 Network Interface Card.
22	Q. Do you know what the specific part number of
23	the D-Link 10/100 interface card was that he used to
24	test?
25	A. The D-Link Network Interface Card was the

1	DFE-530TX family of products.
2	Q. Okay. But specifically do you know which card
3	it was?
4	A. No.
5	Q. All right. And why do you say that, where do
6	you get the information that it was from the 530TX
7	family?
8	A. As part of my job responsibilities.
9	Q. But, did you specifically get that information
10	from somebody or from a document?
11	A. From competitive analysis.
12	Q. Well, you're describing a test that Mr. Wang
13	performed on a specific card. How do you know which
14	card he performed his test on?
15	A. Because I know the low end D-Link 10/100 NIC
16	card is the DFE-530TX family of products.
17	Q. Did Mr. Wang tell you what card he performed
18	his test on?
19	A. The D-Link 10/100 NIC.
20	Q. That's all he said, he just said the D-Link
21	and he did not give you a part number?
22	A. Correct.
23	Q. Did you or anyone at 3Com look for the card
24	that the test was done on?
25	A. It was my understanding that they did look.

1	Q. What document is it that my understanding
2	of what you just testified, Ms. Davis, was that the
3	error that Mr. Wang that was the scrivener's error
4	was Mr. Wang's failure to put the product number in his
5	test?
6	A. Test report.
7	Q. Test report, okay.
8	A. Correct. In first quarter of 2002, Chi-Lie
9	Wang's test report did not include the specific D-Link
10	part number.
11	Q. Okay. Let me see if this is the document that
12	your counsel was referring to. I did not understand
13	this, but from what you're saying this may be the
14	answer.
15	MR. MORRISSETT: Can we mark this next exhibit
16	as Exhibit 8.
17	(Whereupon, Deposition Exhibit 8 was
18	marked for identification)
19	MR. MORRISSETT: Q. You have in front of you
20	what we've labeled Exhibit 8 for the deposition. Up at
21	the top it's got a title "Realtek Patent Infringement
22	Analysis," and at the bottom it's got a production
23	number from 3Com, which means this is a document that
24	3Com produced as part of this litigation, and the
25	number on the first page down there in tiny print is

1	3COM 10501, and the last page of this is 3COM 10506, so
2 .	it's about six pages.
3	Have you seen this document before?
4	A. Yes.
5	Q. Is this the document you were referring to?
6	A. Yes.
7	Q. All right. And can you describe what you say
8	is the scrivener's error?
9	A. Yes. When Chi-Lie prepared the document, he
10	states "testing on D-Link10/100 NIC," but he did not
11	specify the D-Link part number.
12	Q. Do you know what the blank is there that
13	appears after the words D-Link and before
14	A. Hocking's 10/100 NIC.
15	Q. Okay. So, you're saying that the error that
16	3Com is talking about in its motion papers is the
17	absence of a D-Link product number in Exhibit 8?
18	A. Can you clarify that question?
19	Q. Okay, sure. I'm trying to understand what the
20	scrivener's error is. It's my understanding of what
21	you've just testified is that the scrivener's error
22	that 3Com claims that it made is Mr. Wang's failure to
23	put the D-Link product number in Exhibit 8?
24	A. Correct.
25	Q. Okay. When was the first time that you heard
Į	

1	August?
2	A. No.
3	Q. Let's go back to Exhibit 8, which is
4	Mr. Wang's Realtek patent infringement analysis. Does
5	3Com have a standard format for analyses such as this?
6	A. Not to my knowledge.
7	Q. Okay. Is there any standard protocol or rule
8	at 3Com that says if you prepare such an analysis, you
9	must put the product number of the product that you're
10	testing in the document?
11	A. Not to my knowledge.
12	Q. And let me step back to the 530TX, the
13	DFE-530TX D-Link product. I think you've said that you
14	don't know of testing documents or documents that show
15	the testing that was done on that product; am I correct
16	on that? Let me just ask it again.
17	A. Okay.
18	Q. Are there any did in your understanding
19	did 3Com test D-Link's 530TX product I'm sorry, I'm
20	misstating myself.
21	Did 3Com test D-Link's 550TX product?
22	A. It's my understanding that 3Com did test the
23	D-Link 550 product.
24	Q. Is it your understanding that 3Com has no
25	documents that show that testing?